

Letter to John Perrott, September 2, 2016 version

John Perrott
4516 Bucknall Road
San Jose, CA 95130

California State Bar Number: 213080

John, good day.

1) This is an updated PDF version of a recent email to you. As receipt of the email has not been confirmed, delivery is being made by other means.

For an expanded version of the document, including illustrations and updates subsequent to September 2, 2016, see the copy posted in online venues that you're familiar with.

Two notes related to online venues:

* Are you associated with a party in Boise, Idaho that is interested in Thomas Chase Stutzman and seems to have an offline copy of one of the online venues? If so, please notify them that their copy is out of date.

* The primary online venue is now mobile-friendly. At least, the first page, so far, has been redesigned. Test it using your phone and offer suggestions. The phone should be at least 360 pixels wide in portrait mode.

Additional technical note:

Although this document is, presently, a communication to you, future versions may be treated as communications, for legitimate and reasonable purposes, to other individuals, groups, entities, or the public in general, and may, therefore, be distributed to such parties separately from and in addition to you yourself.

2) If you elect to respond to this communication, you'll waive both intellectual property rights to your response and any rights to confidentiality, though, in the current context, you have no rights to either to begin with.

3) Congratulations on the startup of your new practice, though, actually, I see that it's about 1.5 years old.

I take it that Tom Stutzman has shut down his practice, as you've taken his phone number, and as his State Bar webpage now lists a P.O. Box as his address. However, the details remain to be confirmed and documented.

I'll be pleased to post information related to the new practice, as a favor to you, and possibly increase your visibility in Google. There is no need to thank me for this positive gesture. It is the least that I can, and will, do to be of service, under the circumstances.

As I understand it, I can identify people through public records, in some cases, who retain you and make use of your services.

I imagine that they'll be pleased to talk and will speak in glowing terms. I may incorporate their input into future positive and productive writing related to your practice and to issues mentioned in point #5 below.

4) I'm presently planning to serve you, John Perrott, Family Law Attorney, San Jose, CA, with a formal offer to allow you to review, and contribute edits to, a State Bar complaint related to your conduct, focusing, in particular, on the malfeasance, fraud, malpractice, and/or prosecutable crimes that you've committed.

This point, #4, is a courtesy notification to the effect that the offer is presently planned.

5) As you know, I'm interested, for reasons related to positive societal goals and supported by legitimate and reasonable purposes that are well-documented, in understanding the backgrounds, motives, and rationalizations that led multiple parties to commit prosecutable crimes in 2012 and subsequently.

Is it an inescapable part of what we are that ordinary people, people who see themselves as upright, or even Fundamentalist, will, under the right circumstances, commit "white collar" crimes without blinking, without a trace of shame or remorse?

Is it nature or nurture? Does upbringing play a role?

And what can be done about "white collar" crimes, fraud, malpractice, abuse of process, and the fact that the system isn't designed, or intended, to provide recourse to victims whose lives are damaged by those who believe that wealth and power are synonymous with impunity?

These questions should be explored. I'm confident that you agree.

Additionally, of course, each person who committed crimes against me, in particular, in 2012 and subsequently is a part of my own story now, a story that I'm entitled to research, to write, to discuss, and to distribute.

Attorneys and others are invited to review the Legitimate Purposes discussion located at:

<http://gracekiraly.com/infopurposes>

6) You and I have discussed your religious beliefs, John. Where did they come from? What shaped you and led to you to become what you became?

I'd like to request, as your schedule permits, input and corrections in connection with related legitimate and reasonable research.

You've offered, as you're aware, to write to me regarding such matters in the past. I'd be pleased, if it proved to be necessary, to testify under oath to this effect.

However, you haven't said much recently. Let's pick up the thread, therefore, with Loleta, California, a rural community in Humboldt County.

Were you raised in or around Loleta or other parts of Humboldt County, John? Whether or not this is the case, the community is part of the story.

I see that it's a tiny community; less than 1,000 people, correct? Would people in the area be able to commit on churches, schools, and other factors that may have influenced the development of your cohort?

For today, let's get the basic facts straight. Offer corrections, if you're able to do so, related to the background information that is outlined below.

I expect to have similar legitimate and reasonable discussions with others among our group of direct or indirect associates in San Jose, Menlo Park, Avila Beach, Austin, Dallas, and/or other cities.

I feel that the discussions may prove, if pursued with gentle patience as the years pass, to be positive and productive.

Public records, records that any law-abiding citizen would be able to peruse, suggest that the background to your part of my story, the role that you've played in the story, and in society in general, might start out as follows:

Loleta, California was apparently founded, in whole or in part, by a family known as the Perrotts.

One line of descent for the Perrotts starts with a man named Henry William Perrott or William Henry Perrott, born August 6, 1865, died April 21, 1934.

This Henry William Perrott married a woman named Nancy Burnette Moffett or Moffatt, born October 16, 1873, died December 12, 1951.

They had a son named Henry William Perrott Jr. or William Henry Perrott Jr., born on February 25 in 1905 or 1906; records conflict about the year. This Henry William Perrott, the Jr., died on July 1, 1987.

Henry William Perrott Jr. married a woman named Blanche Marie Hendricksen or Hendrickson, born on October 12, 1905, died April 26, 1981.

The couple had 5 children, including: Robert William Perrott (born 1931), John Richard Perrott (born 1933), Sally Mae or May Perrott (subsequently Sally Mae or May Hammack, born 1940), Henry Albert Perrott (born 1941), and Carol Ann Perrott (subsequently Carol Ann Armstrong, born 1949-ish).

The family lived on Table (or Sable) Bluff Road in Loleta, California, a tiny rural community that remains quite small today. Table (or Sable) Bluff Road isn't far from Perrott Avenue, named, presumably after the Perrott family.

Henry Albert Perrott married Janice Marie or Maria Breazeale, born circa 1948, believed still to be living. It isn't known, for sure, if Henry himself is still living or not, but he's still listed in public phone and address records.

Henry Albert Perrott and Janice seem to have had three daughters, give or take one, and a son. The son may have been John Henry Perrott, but this isn't confirmed. John seems to have been a middle child, but this isn't confirmed either. He is believed to have been born on Valentine's Day in 1968 and it appears that a younger sister, Angelique, followed two years later. However, Angelique may be a cousin or relative of some other type.

Letter to John Perrott, September 2, 2016 version

John Henry Perrott, the Family Law Attorney, may have been named after his uncle John, his father Henry, and/or his grandfather Henry.

If John did grow up in Loleta, California, he probably attended High School in Eureka, California, about 13 miles from home.

In fact, it appears that John attended St. Bernard High School in the latter city from 1982 to 1986 and graduated with the Class of 1986. However, this is unconfirmed.

If it's true, it's interesting to note that St. Bernard is apparently a Catholic school. Would the teachings there have supported the views that John was forming or contradicted them?

It's possible that John Perrott attended St. Bernard Elementary School before High School. It would have been a long commute for a child. However, John has at least one friend from decades ago who attended the Elementary School in question, but did not finish High School in the city or even in the State.

John may have gotten to know the latter friend in Elementary School in Eureka as opposed to High School. If so, he attended school in Eureka during his childhood as well as his youth. However, this is simply speculation.

The Perrotts are well-known in Loleta, California, and in Humboldt County in general. Given their notoriety -- I see litigation about 20 years ago -- and the small size of the community, plus the small size of St. Bernard High School classes, it seems possible that additional research for legitimate and reasonable purposes may provide additional insights into this part of the story, a story that will lead to insights into the development of rationalization and human behavior in general and promote positive and productive social goals.

Regards, Robert (the Old Coder)